

Partnering: Strategies and Examples

AIChE Annual
Meeting

1 November 2005

Vincent Magnotta
Manager, Technology Transfer

magnetvl@airproducts.com

John Tao
Director, Corporate Technology Partnerships

taojc@airproducts.com

Fast Facts

- Global gases, chemicals, equipment and services provider
- \$7.4 billion in Revenue (FY 04)
- Chemical industry safety leader
- Operations in more than 30 countries
- 18,500 employees worldwide
- Known for our innovative culture and operational excellence



Business Mix – the World's Only Integrated Gases and Chemicals Company

Gases and Equipment

- Cryogenic Air Separation
 - Oxygen
 - Nitrogen
 - Argon
- Hydrogen
- Helium
- Specialty Gases
- Noncryogenic Air Separation
- Equipment And Technology
- LNG Heat Exchangers

Chemicals

- Emulsion Polymers
- Amines
- Epoxy Additives
- Surfactants
- Polyurethane Intermediates
- Polyurethane Additives

Focused on Four Growth Platforms

- Leadership positions
 - Electronics
 - Specialty gas
 - Precursors
 - Performance Materials
 - Refinery Hydrogen and Energy Solutions
 - Healthcare
 - Home medical services



Partnering: Degrees of Commitment



University Research Alliances

Pros	Cons
Fast access to resources, skills, and experience	Negotiating Intellectual Property rights
Establishes a relationship between Company and University	Project management
Obtaining favorable IP Rights	Communication challenge

Emerging Models: Global Sourcing

	Pros	Cons
InnoCentive: Post problems, pay only if they are solved`	Fast access to global array of knowledge Reward based on solution; deferred risk IP ownership	Expertise not broad Confidential information disclosed in problem statement
NineSigma: Gathers proposals from qualified research groups	Fast access to global capabilities Only non-confidential information used Competitive proposals	Only nonconfidential information used No assistance in building relationship with selected partner

Tapping Russian R&D Resources

- Why?
 - Fresh perspectives
 - Speed
 - Lower costs
 - IP can be favorable
- How?
 - Direct Institute funding
 - Portals:
 - USIC (US Industry Coalition)
 - CRDF (Civilian Research & Development Corp)
 - ISTC (International Science and Technology Center)

Typical Project Dimensions

- Annual Cost \$ 50K
- Russian team
 - 5-6 full time staff (> 50 % PhD level)
- IP Rights
 - More favorable than University (typical)

APCI Collaboration with a Russian Institute

- Collaboration started in 1992, still going strong
- Numerous technology developments and insights
- Projects include distillation, heat exchange, combustion fundamentals, fuel-cell development and others
- For 2005, 57 scientists and support staff engaged



Strategy

- Expand reach to the over 400 Institutes in Russia
- Utilize “on the ground” staff
 - Matchmaking
 - Manage projects

Learnings from Russian Partnerships

- **Frequent communication vital**
 - Email, email, email
 - Face to face meetings in their laboratories
- **Develop work process**
 - Ideas to projects
 - Template project agreements
- **Personal Relationships vital**
 - time to nurture trust and openness

Partnering Examples

- SBIR Support Letters

Partnering Examples

- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Licensing- in:**
 - **Wacker (emulsion polymers)**
- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Licensing-out**
 - **Gas applications, chemicals**
- **Licensing-in:**
 - **Wacker (emulsion polymers)**
- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Joint Development Agreement**
 - **Nanotechnologies Inc (nanoparticles)**
- **Licensing-out**
 - **Gas applications, chemicals**
- **Licensing-in:**
 - **Wacker (emulsion polymers)**
- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Minority Equity Investments**
 - **Solicore (battery)**
- **Joint Development Agreement**
 - **Nanotechnologies Inc (nanoparticles)**
- **Licensing-out**
 - **Gas applications, chemicals**
- **Licensing-in:**
 - **Wacker (emulsion polymers)**
- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- **Joint Venture**
 - **DA Nanomaterials (wafer planarization)**
- **Minority Equity Investments**
 - **Solicore (battery)**
- **Joint Development Agreement**
 - **Nanotechnologies Inc (nanoparticles)**
- **Licensing-out**
 - **Gas applications, chemicals**
- **Licensing-in:**
 - **Wacker (emulsion polymers)**
- **Government Sponsored R&D**
 - **Ion Transport Membranes**
- **Contract Research**
 - **University Alliances**
- **SBIR Support Letters**

Partnering Examples

- Acquisition
 - American Homecare Supply (respiratory services)
- Joint Venture
 - DA Nanomaterials (wafer planarization)
- Minority Equity Investments
 - Solicore (battery)
- Joint Development Agreement
 - Nanotechnologies Inc (nanoparticles)
- Licensing-out
 - Gas applications, chemicals
- Licensing- in:
 - Wacker (emulsion polymers)
- Government Sponsored R&D
 - Ion Transport Membranes
- Contract Research
 - University Alliances
- SBIR Support Letters

External Collaboration Innovation Award

Excellence in
Delivering Value



Recipients

ceramatec®

- 2004 Ceramatec
- 2003 DOE
- 2002 Russian Institute



Summary Conclusions & Take Aways

- Match strategic needs with partner strengths
- Insure alignment of goals
- Build a flexible but formalized agreement
- Develop personal relationships
 - Build openness and trust
- Create & document a work process
 - Efficiency
 - Consistency
- Communicate, communicate, communicate