# **Design and Analysis of Improved Alarm Delay-Timers**

Hao Zang\*. Fan Yang\*. Dexian Huang\*

\* Tsinghua National Laboratory for Information Science and Technology (TNList) Department of Automation, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China (e-mails:{zanghao, yangfan, huangdx}@tsinghua.edu.cn)

**Abstract:** Alarm systems play an important role in industry to notify operators of abnormal or fault situations. In real industrial plants, however, a majority of nuisance alarm signals, including false alarms and missed alarms, interfere with operators' judgment. The technique of delay-timers, a common means to reduce both false alarm rate (FAR) and missed alarm rate (MAR), is widely performed, yet it suffers from a delayed response. In this paper we propose an improved delay-timer annunciation and clearance method to enhance the performance of conventional delay-timers via bypassing some states in state switch under some conditions. Also the improved delay-timer performance indices are calculated by using Markov chains.

*Keywords:* Alarm systems, Markov processes, Delay-timers, False alarm rate, Missed alarm rate, Average alarm delay.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In large modern industrial plants, abnormal situations not only affect their efficiency, but also bring a lot of safety issues, even major accidents or disasters, leading to casualties and economic losses. According to the Abnormal Situation Management (ASM) Consortium statistics, for the petrochemical industry, the amount of economic losses in the US is about 10 to 20 billion \$ due to abnormal situations, and a major accident occurs every three years in average. Alarm systems are an important means to detect and annunciate abnormal production situations so that operators can take actions immediately, and play an irreplaceable role in ensuring both safety and efficiency in industrial plants. A large number of safety incidents have fully demonstrated the importance of alarm systems in safe operation, such as the nuclear power accident occurred at Three Mile Island in 1979, which is the worst nuclear accident in the US history.

Design of alarm systems has attracted great attention of academia and industry in recent years, and becomes one of the emerging research field in the process control and automation community (Izadi et al., 2009). A major problem of the current embodiment of alarm systems is the lack of efficient and specific industrial techniques to meet the standard requirements, including ISA 18.2 (International of Automation, 2009) and EEMUA Society 191 (Engineering Equipment and Materials Users' Association 2013). A lot of research has been done, such as alarm system monitoring (Ahmed et al., 2013, Kondaveeti et al., 2013, Wang et al., 2014), alarm system performance evaluation and alarm systems design and optimization (Zang and Li, 2014). Alarm annunciation and clearance is an important task of alarm system optimal design. At the present stage, we focus on two aspects: univariate methods and multivariate methods (Yang et al., 2012a, Kondaveeti et al., 2012, Yang et al.,

2012b, Yang et al., 2013, Zhu et al., 2005), as shown in Fig. 1



Fig. 1. Annunciation and clearance methods of alarm system design.

Univariate methods have reported wide range of applications, because they are easy to design and implement, and the alarm information is clear for operator's decision-making. The multivariate methods can control the number of alarms and alarm delay, especially alarm floods (Schleburg et al., 2013).

Univariate methods are based on the signal of the process variable; it generates an alarm status information by process data of a single variable through discrete or continuous function calculation. Alarm setpoint comparison is the most common design approach - it is the method with a single order (only the current state is considered) and single alarm setpoint in essence, and it identifies alarm status by comparison of real-time data and setpoints. Reasonable setpoint is the key factor for alarm system efficiency and can be obtained by statistics, models, knowledge, or some intelligent algorithms. In some special conditions, the setpoint corresponding alarm state is changed, the dynamic threshold design can solve such problems effectively (Zhu et al., 2014). The traditional setpoint method is unable to meet operators' requirements for alarm systems with increasing complexity of industrial systems in recent years, some univariate methods with multiple orders or multiple setpoint methods have obtained more extensive research and applications. Multiple order methods denote that an alarm setpoint is obtained by a function transformation of several measured values in the past; whilst multiple setpoint methods denote that the annunciation and clearance of an alarm state corresponds to multiple setpoints. Alarm deadband is a twosetpoint method, where different values are used as alarm annunciation and alarm clearance setpoints (Adnan et al., 2013). Alarm delay-timers (Adnan et al., 2011, Kondaveeti et al., 2011, Xu et al., 2012) and alarm filters have been applied in industrial processes; they are multiple order methods (Yue et al., 2013). Alarm delay-timers are transformation of discrete functions, whilst filters use a continuous function transformation. Multiple setpoints and multiple order methods increase the number of design parameters, and thus can better control the performance indicators such as FAR, MAR and AAD. Among all these methods, delay-timers are commonly used for its simplicity and efficiency; however, their disadvantage is the evident time delays.

## 2. DELAY-TIMERS

Any method to detect alarms must be fast and accurate. A widely used method is comparison between the real-time process data and alarm setpoint to determine alarm status. Fig. 2 shows the annunciation mechanism of the false alarms and missed alarms, where  $X_T$  is the alarm setpoint. When the system is in normal state, the value of the process variable may exceed  $X_T$ , resulting in a false alarm; and when the system is in abnormal state, the value of the process variable may return to the region within  $X_T$ , then a missed alarm is generated.



Fig. 2. Probability distributions of process data in normal and abnormal status.

False alarms and missed alarms are a pair of contradictions. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve in Fig. 3 shows that it is difficult to control the false alarm rate (FAR) and missed alarm rate (MAR) within acceptable levels (Kondaveeti et al., 2011).



Fig. 3. The ROC curve of the alarm detection.

A delay-timer annunciation and clearance method was proposed for this situation (Xu et al., 2012).It classifies the alarm status and non-alarm status into *n* and *m* sub-states, respectively. When the process variable value exceeds  $X_T n$ times consecutively after a period of time in the normal state, the system state switches from non-alarm state NA<sub>1</sub> to alarm state A<sub>1</sub> eventually (via NA<sub>2</sub>, ..., NA<sub>n</sub>); if the value goes within the alarm limit at any sample in this accumulation procedure, the state of the alarm tag immediately returns to state NA<sub>1</sub>. On the other hand, when the value is within  $X_T n$ times consecutively after a period of time in the abnormal state, the state switches from alarm state A<sub>1</sub> to non-alarm state NA<sub>1</sub> eventually (via A<sub>2</sub>, ..., A<sub>n</sub>), otherwise jumps back to the alarm state A<sub>1</sub>.



Fig. 4. Markov chain diagram of *n*-*m*-order alarm delay-timers.

The ROC curves of alarm delay-timers (in Figs. 5 and 6) show that the MAR and FAR significantly reduce when the delay-timer order increases, yet the average alarm delay (AAD) is also increased dramatically (Xu et al., 2012). The essence of delay-timers is to increase the accuracy by sacrificing on the detection delay.



Fig. 5. The ROC curves of alarm delay-timers.



Fig. 6. AADs of alarm delay-timers with different orders.

### 3. IMPROVED DELAY-TIMERS

For an improved alarm delay-timer, Fig. 7 shows the probabilities and probability density functions of process values in normal and abnormal situations. Equations (1-10) give the results of these probabilities.

$$p_1 = \int_{x_{NL}}^{x_{NL}} p(x) dx \tag{1}$$

$$p_2 = \int_{x_{AL}}^{x_T} p(x) dx \tag{2}$$

$$p_3 = \int_{x_T}^{x_{NH}} p(x) dx \tag{3}$$

$$q_1 = \int_{x_{AL}}^{x_T} q(x) dx \tag{4}$$

$$q_2 = \int_{x_T}^{x_{NH}} q(x) dx \tag{5}$$

$$q_3 = \int_{x_{NH}}^{x_{AH}} q(x) dx \tag{6}$$

$$P_1 = p_1 S_N \tag{7}$$

$$P_2 = p_2 S_N + q_1 S_A \tag{8}$$

$$P_{3} = p_{3}S_{N} + q_{2}S_{A}$$
 (9)

$$P_4 = q_3 S_A \tag{10}$$

where p(x) and q(x) are probability density functions of alarm tag x in normal and abnormal situations, respectively, with arbitrary distributions with different means. where NL NH denote low and high limits of normal distribution, and AL and AH denote low and high limits of abnormal distribution.  $S_N$  and  $S_A$  are the statistical probabilities of process operating in normal and abnormal states, respectively, and  $S_N+S_A=1$ .



Fig. 7. Probability distributions of process data in normal and abnormal status, .

Through analysis of the alarm delay-timer topology, we notice one cause of the significant increase of AAD. When the process variable exceeds  $X_{NH}$  suddenly, the process has been in an abnormal state, and thus the alarm tag should immediately switch to the alarm state; however, the traditional alarm delay-timer has to wait for state transitions through all the sub-states step by step (*n* steps). In the improved alarm delay-timer, the transition from non-alarm state to alarm state in this case is immediate (one step). For the improved alarm delay-timer, we analyse the probability space on the basis of the traditional alarm delay-timer, and add two direct state transitions between the alarm state and the non-alarm state.

#### 3.1 Improved Alarm On-Delay-Timers

Fig. 8 shows an improved on-delay-timer. The difference is additional jumps from each non-alarm sub-state to the alarm state compared with the traditional alarm on-delay-timer.



Fig. 8. Markov chain diagram of the *n*-order improved alarm on-delay-timers.

For the Markov chain in Fig. 8, the matrix  $Q \in R^{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$  of one step transition probability is

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 + P_2 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_4 \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & P_3 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_4 \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_4 \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & \cdots & 0 & P_4 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & P_3 & P_4 \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_3 + P_4 \\ P_1 + P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_3 + P_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

Here, the element locating at the *i*th row and the *j*th column of the matrix Q is the one step transition probability of the state from *i* to *j*.

When the process is in normal state, we define

$$\begin{cases} S_A = 0\\ S_N = 1 \end{cases}$$
(12)

From (1-10) and (12), we have

$$FAR = p_3^n \tag{13}$$

When the process is in abnormal state, we define

$$\begin{cases} S_A = 1\\ S_N = 0 \end{cases}$$
(14)

From (1-10) and (12), the solving process details can refer to references (Xu. et al., 2012), we have

MAR = 
$$\frac{q_1(1-q_2^n)}{1-q_2}$$
 (15)

ADD = 
$$h(\frac{-q_1q_2^{2^n} + (-q_2 - 2q_3 + 1)q_2^n + q_3}{(q_1q_2^n + q_3)^2} - 1)$$
 (16)

## 3.2 Improved Alarm Off-Delay-Timers

Fig. 9 shows an improved off-delay-timer Markov chain. The difference is additional jumps from each alarm sub-state to the non-alarm state compared to the traditional alarm off-delay-timer.



Fig. 9. Markov chain diagram of the *n*-order improved alarm off-delay-timers.

For the Markov chain in Fig. 9, the matrix  $Q \in R^{(n+1)\times(n+1)}$  of the one step transition probability is

$$Q = \begin{vmatrix} P_3 + P_4 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_2 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & P_2 & P_1 \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 + P_2 \\ P_3 + P_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_1 + P_2 \end{vmatrix}$$
(17)

According to the characteristics of the Markov chain, we obtain the performance indicators as follows:

FAR = 
$$\frac{p_3(1-p_2^n)}{1-p_2}$$
 (18)

$$MAR = q_1^n \tag{19}$$

$$ADD = h \frac{q_1}{q_2 + q_3} \tag{20}$$

## 3.3 Improved Alarm Delay-Timers

Fig. 10 is the Markov chain of an *n*-order improved delay-timer.



Fig. 10. Markov chain diagram of the *n*-order improved alarm delay-timers.

For the Markov chain in Fig. 10, the matrix  $Q \in R^{2m\times 2n}$  of one step transition probability is

According to the characteristics of the Markov chain, we obtain the performance indicators as follows:

FAR = 
$$\frac{p_3^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_2^{i}}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_3^{i} (1 - p_3 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_2^{i}) + p_3^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_2^{i}}$$
(22)

MAR = 
$$\frac{q_1^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_2^{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_1^{i} (1 - q_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_2^{i}) + q_1^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_2^{i}}$$
(23)

ADD = 
$$h(\frac{-q_1q_2^{2n} + (-q_2 - 2q_3 + 1)q_2^{n} + q_3}{(q_1q_2^{n} + q_3)^2} - 1)$$
 (24)

#### 4. SIMULATION STUDY

Apply the following mechanism to produce a single-variable data series involving two states. Assume that it follows the N(1,1) normal distribution in the normal condition, and the N(3,1) normal distribution in the abnormal condition. Generate 100000 data, including 50000 normal data points and 50000 abnormal data points.

$$\begin{cases} x(t) \sim N(1,1), & 100(n-1) < t \le 50(2n-1) \\ x(t) \sim N(3,1), & 50(2n-1) < t \le 100n \\ t, n \in N^+, & 1 \le n \le 1000 \end{cases}$$
(25)

Table 1. Deministration of faise and missed afai ms	Table 1.	Definition	of false an	d missed	alarms
---	----------	------------	-------------	----------	--------

Alarm Situation	No alarm(0)	Alarm(1)
Normal(0)	correct	false alarm
Abnormal(1)	missed alarm	correct

Generate alarm data via a simple setpoint comparison, a 3rdorder conventional delay-timer, and an improved delay-timer, and then calculate MAR, FAR, and AAD, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Performance comparison

method	MAR	FAR	AAD
Threshold ( $X_T=2$ )	0.15684	0.15864	0.207
3rd-order delay-timer $(X_T=2)$	0.0273	0.02668	1.113
3rd-order improved delay-timer( $X_T$ =2, $X_{NH}$ =4.5 $X_{AL}$ =-0.5)	0.01968	0.01968	0.679

From Table 2, we see that the improved alarm delay-timer has better performance in all indicators compared to the conventional alarm delay-timer. It can make the alarms more accurate and timely.

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The proposed improved alarm delay-timers are essentially a univariate alarm configuration method with multiple orders and setpoints. The problem of AAD excessive growth with order increases is overcome by adding an alarm annunciation setpoint and an alarm clearance setpoint on the basis of conventional alarm delay-timers. Improved alarm delaytimers have more parameters to be designed, and thus how to improve the alarm system operating efficiency by designing each parameter requires in-depth study.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would appreciate the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61433001) and the National High-tech Research and Development Program of China (2013AA040702).

#### REFERENCES

- Adhitya, A., Cheng, S.F., Lee, Z., et al. (2014). Quantifying the effectiveness of an alarm management system through human factors studies. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 67, 1-12.
- Adnan, N.A., Cheng, Y., Izadi, I., et al. (2013). Study of generalized delay-timers in alarm configuration. *Journal* of Process Control, 23(3), 382-395.
- Adnan, N.A., Izadi, I., and Chen, T. (2011). On expected detection delays for alarm systems with deadbands and delay-timers. *Journal of Process Control*, 21(9), 1318-1331.
- Ahmed, K., Izadi, I., Chen, T., et al. (2013). Similarity analysis of industrial alarm flood data. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 10(2), 452-457.
- Cheng, Y., Izadi, I., and Chen, T. (2013). Optimal alarm signal processing: filter design and performance analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 10(2), 446-451.
- Engineering Equipment and Materials Users' Association (2013). Alarm Systems - A Guide to Design, Management and Procurement (EEMUA 191), 3rd Edition.
- International Society of Automation (2009). Management of Alarm Systems for the Process Industries (ANSI/ISA-18.2-2009)
- Izadi, I., Shah, S.L., Shook, D.S., et al. (2009), A framework for optimal design of alarm systems. In *Proceedings of the* 7*th IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety of Technical Processes*, 651-656.
- Kondaveeti, S. R., Izadi, I., Shah, S.L., et al. (2011). On the use of delay timers and latches for efficient alarm design. In Proceedings of the 19th Mediterranean Conference on Control & Automation (MED), 2011, 970-975
- Kondaveeti, S.R., Izadi, I., Shah, S.L., et al. (2012). Graphical tools for routine assessment of industrial alarm systems. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 46, 39-47.
- Kondaveeti, S.R., Izadi, I., Shah, S.L., et al. (2013). Quantification of alarm chatter based on run length distributions. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 91(12), 2550-2558.
- Naghoosi, E., Izadi, I., and Chen, T. (2011). Estimation of alarm chattering. *Journal of Process Control*, 21(9), 1243-1249.
- Pariyani, A., Seider, W.D., Oktem, U.G., et al. (2010). Incidents investigation and dynamic analysis of large alarm databases in chemical plants: A Fluidizedcatalytic-cracking unit case study. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 49(17), 8062-8079.
- Schleburg, M., Christiansen, L., Thornhill, N.F., et al. (2013). A combined analysis of plant connectivity and alarm

logs to reduce the number of alerts in an automation system. *Journal of Process Control*, 23(6), 839 - 851.

- Wang, J. and Chen, T. (2014). An online method to remove chattering and repeating alarms based on alarm durations and intervals. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 67, 43-52.
- Xu J., Wang J., Izadi, I., et al. (2012). Performance assessment and design for univariate alarm systems based on FAR, MAR, and AAD. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 9(2), 296-307.
- Yang, F., Shah, S.L., Xiao, D., et al. (2012a). Improved correlation analysis and visualization of industrial alarm data. *ISA Transactions*, 51(4), 499-506.
- Yang, F., Shah, S.L., and Xiao, D. (2012b). Signed directed graph based modelling and its validation from process knowledge and process data. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, 22(1), 41-53.
- Yang, Z., Wang, J., and Chen, T. (2013). Detection of correlated alarms based on similarity coefficients of binary data. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science* and Engineering, 10(4), 1014-1025.
- Zang, H. and Li, H. (2014). Optimization of process alarm thresholds: A multidimensional kernel density estimation approach. *Process Safety Progress*, 33(3), 292-298.
- Zhu, J., Shu, Y., Zhao, J., et al. (2014). A dynamic alarm management strategy for chemical process transitions. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 30, 207-218.
- Zhu, Q. and Geng, Z. (2005). A new fuzzy clustering-ranking algorithm and its application in process alarm management. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 13(4), 477-483.