

Invariant Measures for Jump-Type Fleming-Viot Processes

Telles T. da Silva and Marcelo D. Fragoso

Abstract—We study invariant measures for homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot processes. For neutral processes (without selection) we prove the ergodicity of the process. In the case with selection, we study the unicity of the invariant measure via a coupling method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the publication of Fleming and Viot's seminal paper [5], invariant measures for continuous Fleming-Viot processes have been studied in various works. For a review of the main results see [3], which gives also a comprehensive bibliography on the subject. But, to our best knowledge, results about invariant measures for jump-type Fleming-Viot processes, introduced by Hiraba [6], have not appeared yet in the literature. This paper tries to fill this gap. We apply here some methods which were developed successfully for continuous Fleming-Viot processes.

II. JUMP-TYPE FLEMING-VIOT PROCESS WITH SELECTION

We start with the definition of the Fleming-Viot process. Let (S, d) be a compact metric space, the space of genetic types. Let $C(S)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions with the norm of the supremum ($\beta \in C(S), \|\beta\| = \sup_{x \in S} |\beta(x)|$). The set of Borel subsets of S will be denoted $\mathcal{B}(S)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_F(S)$ be the space of probability measures and the space of finite Radon measures over $\mathcal{B}(S)$, respectively. For $f \in C(S)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ (or \mathcal{M}_F) we denote $\langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_S f(x) \mu(dx)$. To $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_F(S)$ is given the weak topology. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the set of Borel subsets of $\mathcal{M}(S)$. Define also $b\mathcal{B}(S)$ and $b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$, the vector space of bounded measurable functions on S and on $\mathcal{M}(S)$, respectively.

Let $\mathbb{D} := D([0, \infty[, \mathcal{M}(S))$ be equipped with the Skorokhod topology and $Y_t : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$ be the canonical process, $Y_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$. Let the σ -algebra \mathcal{F} be the set of Borel subsets $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ in \mathbb{D} be given by $\mathcal{F}_t := \cap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\epsilon}^0$, where $\mathcal{F}_t^0 := \sigma(\{Y_u\} : 0 \leq u \leq t)$, and $\mathcal{F}_\infty := \vee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, $g > 0$, $a \geq 0$ and $\nu(du)$ be a measure in \mathbb{R} such that $\int_0^\infty (u \wedge u^2) \nu(du) < \infty$.

Research supported in part by the Brazilian National Research Council-CNPq, under the Grant No. 520169/97.2 and Grant No. 305173/88-0, by FAPERJ under Grant E-26/150.692/2000, by PRONEX grant No. 0331.00/00 and IM-AGIMB.

T. T. da Silva and M.D. Fragoso are with the National Laboratory for Scientific Computing - LNCC/CNPq, Av. Getulio Vargas 333, Petrópolis, Rio de Janeiro, CEP 25651-070, Brazil. frag@lncc.br and telless@lncc.br

We consider a linear operator $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) \subset C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ which is the generator of a Feller semigroup $P_t : C(S) \rightarrow C(S)$ and plays the role of mutation, and a nonlinear function $F : \mathcal{M}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$ which models selection.

$\{P_\mu^{(\mathcal{L}, F, g, a, \nu)} : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)\} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{D})$ is a jump-type Fleming-Viot process if:

i) $P_\mu^{(\mathcal{L}, F, g, a, \nu)}[Y(0) = \mu] = 1$,

ii) for $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta, Y_t \rangle &= \langle \beta, Y_0 \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{L}\beta, Y_s \rangle ds + M_t^c(\beta) \\ &+ \int_0^t \left[\frac{a}{g} + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{g+u} \right)^2 \nu(du) \right] \langle \beta, F(Y_s) \rangle ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{M}_F(S)} \frac{\langle 1, \eta \rangle}{g + \langle 1, \eta \rangle} \langle \beta, \bar{\eta} - Y_{s-} \rangle \tilde{N}(ds, d\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_t -semimartingale, where $\bar{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\langle 1, \eta \rangle}$, $\{M^c(\beta)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous martingale with quadratic variation given by

$$\ll M^c(\beta) \gg_t = \frac{a}{g} \int_0^t \int_{x \in S} \int_{y \in S} \beta(x) \beta(y) Q(Y(s); dx \times dy) ds \quad (2)$$

with $Q(\mu; dx \times dy) = \delta_{x-y}(dy) \mu(dx) - \mu(dy) \mu(dx)$ and \tilde{N} is a discontinuous \mathcal{F}_t -martingale measure corresponding to a random point process N on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{M}_F(S)$, such that $\tilde{N}(t, B) = N(t, B) - \hat{N}(t, B)$, where \hat{N} is the compensator of N given by

$$\hat{N}(ds, d\eta) = \left\{ \int_S \left[\int_0^\infty \delta_{u\delta_x}(d\eta) \nu(du) \right] Y_s(dx) \right\} ds. \quad (3)$$

To simplify the notation, put

$$k(a, g, \nu) = \frac{a}{g} + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{g+u} \right)^2 \nu(du), \quad (4)$$

and define

$$Y_{\mathcal{L}, F}(t) :=$$

$$Y(t) - Y(0) - \int_0^t [\mathcal{L}^* Y(s) + k(a, g, \nu) F(Y(s))] ds \quad (5)$$

and, if $F = 0$,

$$Y_{\mathcal{L},0}(t) := Y(t) - Y(0) - \int_0^t [\mathcal{L}^* Y(s)] ds \quad (6)$$

Let $F : \mathcal{M}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$ be given by

$$[F(\mu)](dx) = \int_{y \in S} \int_{z \in S} \sigma(y, z) \mu(dz) Q(\mu; dx \times dy) \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric bounded function such that $\|\sigma(x, y)\| < 1$,

In a previous paper [1], we proved that when the function F satisfies this particular condition then the jump-type Fleming-Viot process is unique. The generator of this process is given as follows.

For $h \in C(S^n)$ define

$$P_t^n h(x) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n P_t^{(i)} \right) h(x) \quad (8)$$

where $P_t^{(i)}$ is P_t acting on x_i .

Let D^n be the algebra generated by $\{\beta_1(x_1) \cdots \beta_n(x_n) : \beta_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}), i = 1, \dots, n\}$. For $h \in D^n$ let

$$\mathcal{L}^{(n)} h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{L}_i is \mathcal{L} acting on x_i .

Let $F_h(\mu) = \langle h, \mu^n \rangle$. The generator of the jump-type Fleming-Viot process with selection is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^g F_h(\mu) = & \langle \mathcal{L}^{(n)} h, \mu^n \rangle + \frac{a}{2g} \sum_{j \neq k} \left(\langle \Theta_{j;k} h, \mu^{n-1} \rangle - \langle h, \mu^n \rangle \right) \\ & + \sum_{m=2}^n B_{m,n}[g] \sum_{(j_1; \{j_2, \dots, j_m\})} \left(\langle \Theta_{j_1; j_2, \dots, j_m} h, \mu^{n-m+1} \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \langle h, \mu^n \rangle \right) \\ & + \bar{\sigma}k(a, g, \nu) \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle K_{jn} h, \mu^{n+2} \rangle - \langle h, \mu^n \rangle) \\ & + \bar{\sigma}nk(a, g, \nu) \langle h, \mu^n \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Theta_{j_1; j_2, \dots, j_m}[h(x_1, \dots, x_n)] = h(x_1, \dots, x_{j_2-1}, x_{j_1}, x_{j_2+1}, \dots, x_{j_m-1}, x_{j_1}, x_{j_m+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{(j_1; \{j_2, \dots, j_k\})} := k \sum_{j_1=1}^{n-k+1} \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{n-k+2} \cdots \sum_{j_k=j_{k-1}+1}^n, \quad (12)$$

$$B_{m,n}[g] := \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{u^m [g]^{n-m}}{[g+u]^n} \nu(du), \quad (13)$$

$$(K_{jn} h)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}) = \frac{\sigma(x_j, x_{n+1}) - \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{\bar{\sigma}} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (14)$$

with $\bar{\sigma}$ a constant satisfying

$$\bar{\sigma} \geq \sup_{x,y,z \in S} |\sigma(x, y) - \sigma(y, z)|, \quad (15)$$

III. THE DUAL PROCESS

In this section we describe the dual process. It will aid us to prove weak ergodicity of the jump-type Fleming-Viot process without selection.

Let us define an operator

$$\mathcal{H}^g : C(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(S^n)) \rightarrow C(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(S^n)),$$

such that

$$\mathcal{H}^g F_h(\mu) := \mathcal{G}^g F_h(\mu) - \bar{\sigma}nk(a, g, \nu) F_h(\mu) \quad (16)$$

\mathcal{H}^g is the generator of the dual process which we construct as follows.

Set

$$\gamma^* = \bar{\sigma}k(a, g, \nu) \quad (17)$$

$$\gamma_{2,n}^0 = \frac{a}{2g} + B_{2,n}(g) \quad (18)$$

$$\gamma_{m,n}^0 = B_{m,n}(g), \quad m = 3, \dots, n. \quad (19)$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M = \{M(s) : s \geq 0\}$ be a continuous-time Markov chain over \mathbb{N} which has transition intensities

$$q_{N,N+2} = N\gamma^*, \quad (20)$$

$$q_{N,N-d} = \sum_{(j_1; \{j_2, \dots, j_{d+1}\})} \gamma_{d+1,N}^0, \quad (21)$$

$d = 1, \dots, N-1$. Set $\{\tau_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ to be the sequence of jump times of M , $\tau_0 = 0$. Let Γ_i be a sequence of random operators which, given M , are conditionally independent and satisfy

$$P[\Gamma_k = K_{jN}|M] = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{I}_{[M(\tau_k^-)=N, M(\tau_k)=N+2]}, \quad (22)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P[\Gamma_k = \Theta_{j_1; j_2, \dots, j_{d+1}} | M] = & \frac{1}{\sum_{(j_1; \{j_2, \dots, j_{d+1}\})} 1} \mathbf{I}_{[M(\tau_k^-)=N, M(\tau_k)=N-d]}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for $d = 1, \dots, N-1$.

Let $h \in D^N$, $M(0) = N$ and $W(0) = h$. Then the dual process, $W(t)$, is given by

$$P_{t-\tau_k}^{M(\tau_k)} \Gamma_k P_{\tau_k-\tau_{k-1}}^{M(\tau_{k-1})} \Gamma_{k-1} \cdots \Gamma_1 P_{\tau_1}^{M(0)} W(0), \quad (24)$$

$\tau_k \leq t < \tau_{k+1}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$

The proof of the following proposition is found in [1].
Proposition 3.1: The following duality identity holds:

$$E[\langle h, Y(t)^N \rangle] =$$

$$E \left[\langle W(t), \mu^{M(t)} \rangle \exp \left\{ \gamma^* \int_0^t M(s) ds \right\} \right], \quad (25)$$

where $W(0) = h$ and $Y(0) = \mu$.

Proposition 3.2: Suppose that the semigroup P_t has an invariant measure π . Then, for the neutral jump-type Fleming-Viot process,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[< h, Y(t)^N >] = E[< W(\tau_{N-1}), \pi >], \quad (26)$$

for each $N \geq 1$ and $h \in C(S)$.

Proof: The proof is based essentially on the duality relation (25). See [3].

IV. STATIONARY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE HOMOGENEOUS CASE WITHOUT SELECTION

In order to prove existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure for the jump-type Fleming-Viot process without selection, we will prove first that it is a Feller process.

Theorem 4.1: The jump-type Fleming-Viot process without selection is a Feller-Markov process.

Proof: It follows along the same lines as in [2], bearing in mind that the semigroup $\{T_t\}$ associated to the jump-type Fleming-Viot process is given by (see [6])

$$T_t F_h(\mu) = \int_{S^n} (V_t h)(x) \mu^n(dx) \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_t h(x) &= \exp \left[- \sum_{m=2}^n \gamma_{m,n} \right] P_t^n h(x) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^n \exp \left[- \sum_{k \neq m; 2 \leq k \leq n} \gamma_{k,n} \right] \\ &\times \sum_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)} \int_0^t \gamma_{m,n}^0 \exp[-\gamma_{m,n}] \\ &\times P_u^n (\Theta_{j_1; j_2, \dots, j_m} (V_{t-u} h))(x) du \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

is generated by \mathcal{G}^g .

Remark 1: The main difficulty in dealing with processes which include selection, vis-à-vis existence of invariant measure, has to do with the change in the infinitesimal generator, which makes it hard to prove that such processes are Feller.

Theorem 4.2: If there is a unique $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ such that, for $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$< \mathcal{L}\beta, \pi > = 0 \quad (29)$$

then there exists a unique stationary distribution $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}(S))$ for the homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process without selection.

Proof: The existence is due to the fact that the homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process has the Feller property. For uniqueness, note that the range of $\lambda - \mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ contains $C(S^n)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and, for $h \in C(S^n)$,

$$R^{(n)} h = [\lambda_n - \mathcal{L}^{(n)}]^{-1} h = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_n s} P_s^n h ds. \quad (30)$$

where $\lambda_n = \frac{a}{g} n() 2 + \sum_{m=2}^n B_{m,n}[g] mn() m$. The rest of the proof will follow as in [3].

Corollary 1: The homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process without selection is weakly ergodic.

Proof: From (26) we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[F_h(Y_t)] = \int_{\mathcal{M}(S)} F_h(\mu) \Pi(d\mu) \quad (31)$$

By the property of convergence determining of the collection of functions of the form $F_h = < h, \mu^n >$, we have the result.

V. COUPLING HOMOGENEOUS JUMP-TYPE FLEMING-VIOT PROCESSES

Our aim is to obtain a coupling for the homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process in order to study the stationary distribution for the homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process with selection. We start with the description of a successful Markov coupling, entirely inspired by that from [4]. Let \tilde{S} be a compact metric space, $\rho_i : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\rho : S \times S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be Borel measurable mappings such that $(\rho_i \circ \rho)(x_1, x_2) = x_i$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ an operator on $b\mathcal{B}(\tilde{S})$. $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho)$ determines a successful Markov coupling for \mathcal{L} if

- 1) the martingale problem for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is well posed,
- 2) for each $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$, $\beta \circ \rho_i \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\beta \circ \rho_i) = (\mathcal{L}\beta) \circ \rho_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and
- 3) for each solution X of the $D_{\tilde{S}}[0, \infty]$ -martingale problem for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, there exists a random time τ such that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{\tau < \infty, \rho_1 \circ X(\tau + t) = \rho_2 \circ X(\tau + t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Define $\hat{\rho}_i : \mathcal{M}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$, $i = 1, 2$, by $\hat{\rho}_i(\mu) = \mu \rho_i^{-1}$, and $\hat{\rho} : \mathcal{M}(S) \times \mathcal{M}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\tilde{S})$ by $\hat{\rho}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = (\mu_1 \times \mu_2) \rho^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the generator for the jump-type Fleming-Viot process in $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{S})$ without selection, with mutation operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Theorem 5.1: Let \tilde{Y} be a homogeneous jump-type Fleming-Viot process with type space \tilde{S} , mutation operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with corresponding semigroup $\{\tilde{P}_t\}$ on $b\mathcal{B}(\tilde{S})$. Let $D \subset \tilde{S}$ be closed. Suppose that $\tilde{P}_t \mathbf{1}_D \geq \mathbf{1}_D$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{P}_t \mathbf{1}_D(x) = 1$ for each $x \in \tilde{S}$. Define $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : < \mathbf{1}_D, \tilde{Y}_t > = 1\}$. Then $P\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$.

Proof: Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\psi \in b\mathcal{B}(\tilde{S})$. Define $h_{\lambda, \psi} = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \tilde{P}_t \psi dt$. If $h_{\lambda, \psi} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}h_{\lambda, \psi} = \lambda(h_{\lambda, \psi} - \psi)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} < h_{\lambda, \psi}, \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, 0}(t) > &= < h_{\lambda, \psi}, \tilde{Y}_t > - < h_{\lambda, \psi}, \tilde{Y}_0 > \\ &- \int_0^t < \lambda(h_{\lambda, \psi} - \psi), \tilde{Y}_s > ds \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

is an $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -martingale. By Ito's formula (see [2]), for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\lambda,\psi}(t) &:= f(< h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_t >) - f(< h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_0 >) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t < \lambda(h_{\lambda,\psi} - \psi), \tilde{Y}_s > f'(< h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2g} \int_0^t (< h_{\lambda,\psi}^2, \tilde{Y}_s > - < h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_s >^2) \\ &\quad \times f''(< h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \tilde{S}} f\left(\frac{g}{g+u} < h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_s >\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{u}{g+u} h_{\lambda,\psi}(x)\right) \tilde{Y}_s(dx) \nu(du) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \nu(du) \int_{s=0}^t f(< h_{\lambda,\psi}, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

is a $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -martingale. Now, taking $\psi = \mathbf{I}_D$, under the hypotheses which \tilde{P} satisfies, and assuming $f' \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\lambda,D}^*(t) &:= f(< h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_t >) - f(< h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_0 >) \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2g} \int_0^t (< h_{\lambda}^2, \tilde{Y}_s > - < h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_s >^2) f''(< h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \tilde{S}} f\left(\frac{g}{g+u} < h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_s >\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{u}{g+u} h_{\lambda}(x)\right) \tilde{Y}_s(dx) \nu(du) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \nu(du) \int_{s=0}^t f(< h_{\lambda}, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

is a $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -submartingale. Then, making $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and assuming also that f is convex,

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &:= f(< \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_t >) - f(< \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_0 >) \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2g} \int_0^t (< \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_s > - < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_s >^2) f''(< \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_s >) ds \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

is a $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -submartingale.

On the other hand, we can define

$$\tilde{Z}_t = \lim_{s \in \mathbb{Q}, s \rightarrow t^+} < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_s >,$$

a right continuous process, which satisfy

$$\tilde{Z}_t \geq < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_t >$$

almost sure. Take $\omega \in \Omega$ and let $s_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, $s_n \rightarrow t^+$, with \mathbb{Q} denoting set of rational numbers as usual. Then

$$\lim_{s_n \rightarrow t^+} \omega(s_n) = \omega(t),$$

because $\omega(t^+) = \omega(t)$. Now, since D is closed,

$$\limsup_{s_n} < \mathbf{I}_D, \omega(s_n) > \leq < \mathbf{I}_D, \omega(t) >.$$

Then, for almost all ω ,

$$\limsup_{s_n} < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_{s_n}(\omega) > \leq < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_t(\omega) >, \quad (37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_t(\omega) &= \lim_{s_n \rightarrow t^+} < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_{s_n}(\omega) > \\ &\leq \limsup_{s_n} < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_{s_n}(\omega) > \\ &\leq < \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_t(\omega) > \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. That is,

$$P(\{< \mathbf{I}_D, \tilde{Y}_t > \geq \tilde{Z}_t \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}) = 1. \quad (39)$$

From here on the proof follows the same steps as in [4].

Now the last two theorems can be proved, mutatis mutantis, by the same method developed in [4]

Theorem 5.2: Suppose that the martingale problem for \mathcal{G} , the neutral jump-type Fleming-Viot generator with mutation operator \mathcal{L} , is well posed. Assume that $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho)$ determines a successful Markov coupling for \mathcal{L} . Suppose that the martingale problem for $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, the neutral jump-type Fleming-Viot generator with the mutation operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is well posed. Then $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, \hat{\rho})$ determines a successful Markov coupling for \mathcal{G} . Besides, the following limits apply:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(Y_s) ds = \int_{\mathcal{M}(S)} F(\mu) \Pi(\mu), \text{ a.s.} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{G \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(S))} |P\{Y_t \in G\} - \Pi(G)| = 0 \quad (41)$$

for each $F \in b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}(S))$, where Π is the unique stationary measure obtained in Theorem 4.2.

The jump-type Fleming-Viot process with selection was obtained in [1] by a Girsanov transformation, which is used in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3: Suppose that the conditions in Theorem 5.2 is satisfied. Assuming that a stationary distribution for the homogenous jump-type Fleming-Viot process with selection exists, then it is unique.

References

- [1] Da SILVA, Telles T. & FRAGOSO, Marcelo D., A note on jump-type Fleming-Viot processes. Accepted for presentationas as Regular paper at the 43rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control.
- [2] DAWSON, D. A., Measure-valued Markov Processes (Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1541). Berlin: Springer, 1993.
- [3] ETHIER, S. N. & KURTZ, T. G., Fleming-Viot processes in population genetics. SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 31 (1993), pp.345-386.
- [4] ETHIER, S. N. & KURTZ, T. G., Coupling and ergodic theorems for Fleming-Viot processes. The Annals of Probability, 26 (1998), pp.533-561.
- [5] FLEMING, W. & VIOT, M., Some measure-valued Markov processes in population genetics theory. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 28 (1979), pp.817-843.
- [6] HIRABA, S., Jump-Type Fleming-Viot Processes. Adv. Appl. Prob., 32 (2000), pp.140-158.