# **Frequency analysis**

Important for understanding stability and robustness of feedback systems

## Mathematics. Complex number G, j<sup>2</sup>=-1



$$G = R + j I$$
  

$$Im(G) = R, Re(G) = I$$
  

$$|G| = \sqrt{R^2 + I^2}$$
  

$$\phi = \angle G = \arctan \frac{I}{R}$$
  
Polar form

$$G = 2. |G| = 2, \angle G = \arctan 0 = 0^\circ = 0 \ rad$$

$$G = 3j. |G| = 3, \angle G = \arctan \frac{3}{0} = \arctan \infty = 90^\circ = \frac{\pi}{2} \ rad = 1.57 \ rad$$

$$G = 2 + 3j. \ |G| = \sqrt{2^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{13} = 3.61, \angle G = \arctan \frac{3}{2} = 56.3^\circ = 0.983 \ rad$$

$$G = \frac{1}{3j} = -\frac{1}{3}j, |G| = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33, \angle G = -90^\circ = -\frac{\pi}{2} \ rad$$
Euler's formula

Polar form:  

$$G = R + jI = |G|(\cos \angle G + j\sin \angle G) = |G|e^{j\angle G}$$
  
Note:  $e^{j\pi} = -1$ 

May also use i instead of j, i<sup>2</sup>=-1. (i is common in mathematics; j is more common in control) arctan = arctg = atan = tan<sup>-1</sup> From degrees to radians: Multiply by  $\frac{\pi}{180^{\circ}}$  Polar form

Multiply complex numbers: Multiply magnitudes and add phases

$$G = G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdot G_3$$
  

$$|G| = |G_1| \cdot |G_2| \cdot |G_3|$$
  

$$\angle G = \angle G_1 + \angle G_2 + \angle G_3$$

Similar - for - ratio:  

$$G = \frac{G_1}{G_2}$$

$$|G| = |G_1|/|G_2|$$

$$\angle G = \angle G_1 - \angle G_2$$

$$G_{1} = 2 + 4j,$$
  

$$G_{2} = -3 + 3j$$
  

$$|G_{1}| = \sqrt{2^{2} + 4^{2}} = \sqrt{20} = 4.47, \angle G_{1} = \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{4}{2}\right) = 63.3^{\circ} = 1.107 \ rad$$
  

$$|G_{2}| = \sqrt{3^{2} + 3^{2}} = \sqrt{18} = 4.24, \angle G_{2} = \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{-3}{3}\right) = -45^{\circ} = -0.785 \ rad$$

 $G = G_1 \cdot G_2,$   $|G| = 4.47 \cdot 4.24 = 18.953,$   $\angle G = 63.3^\circ + (-45^\circ) = 18.3^\circ = 0.322 \ rad$  $G = 18.953 e^{j0.322}$ 

$$G = \frac{G_1}{G_2}$$
,  $|G| = \frac{4.47}{4.24} = 1.054$ ,  $\angle G = 63.3^\circ - (-45^\circ) = 108.3^\circ = 1.89 \ rad$ 

Force linear system with sinusoidal input: Output has same frequency:

 $u(t) = u_0 \sin \omega t$  $y(t) = y_0 \sin (\omega t + \phi)$ 



Figure 13.1.



Attenuation and time shift between input and output sine waves The phase angle  $\phi$  of the output signal is given by  $\phi = -\Delta t/P \times 360^{\circ}$ , where  $\Delta t$  is the time (period) shift and P is the period of oscillation.

Period:P[s]Frequency: $\omega$  [rad/s] =  $2\pi$  / PPhase shift: $\phi$  [rad] =  $-\frac{\Delta t}{P} 2\pi = -\Delta t \cdot \omega$ Amplitude ratio (gain): AR =  $y_0/u_0$ 

- We have assumed deviation variables, otherwise we need to add an «average» or «bias» to both u(t) and y(t).
- We assume that the input sinusoid is persistent and consider the «steady-state» as  $t \to \infty$ .
- One period (cycle) =  $2\pi$  [rad] =  $360^{\circ}$

## Example: Ground temperature phase shift



#### General: Simple method to find sinusoidal response of system G(s)

- Input signal to linear system:  $u = u_0 \sin(\omega t)$ 1.
- Steady-state ("persistent", t $\rightarrow \infty$ ) output signal: y = y<sub>0</sub> sin( $\omega$ t +  $\phi$ ) 2.
- 3. What is AR =  $y_0/u_0$  and  $\phi$ ?



#### Solution (extremely simple!)

- Find system transfer function, G(s) 1.
- Let  $s=j\omega$  (imaginary number,  $j^2=-1$ ) and evaluate  $G(j\omega) = R + j I$  (complex number) 2.
- 3. Then ("believe it or not!")



 $AR = |G(j\omega)|$ (magnitude of the complex number) $\phi = Å G(j\omega)$ (phase of the complex number)



Proof:  $y(s) \stackrel{\cdot}{=} G(s)u(s)$  where  $u(s) = \frac{u_0\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2} = \frac{u_0\omega}{(s - j\omega)(s + j\omega)}$ , etc... (poles of G(s) "die out" as  $t \to \infty$ ) Term  $\frac{1}{s-i\omega}$  gives  $G(j\omega)$  with partial fraction expansion

#### Proof, first-order system

#### 4.2.3 Sinusoidal Response



Note: A is the same as u<sub>0</sub>

General (VERY SIMPLE). Set s=j $\omega$  in G(s). Then AR = |G(j $\omega$ )|  $\phi$  = Å G(j $\omega$ ) As a final example of the response of first-order processes, consider a sinusoidal input  $u_{sin}(t) = A \sin \omega t$ , with transform given by Eq. (4-15):  $u(s) = A \frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2}$  $y(s) = \frac{KA\omega}{(\tau_s + 1)(s^2 + \omega^2)}$  (4-23)(5-22)

$$=\frac{KA}{\omega^2\tau^2+1}\left(\frac{\omega\tau^2}{\tau s+1}-\frac{s\omega\tau}{s^2+\omega^2}+\frac{\omega}{s^2+\omega^2}\right)$$
(4-24)

Inversion gives

$$y(t) = \frac{KA}{\omega^2 \tau^2 + 1} \left(\omega \tau e^{-t/\tau} - \omega \tau \cos \omega t + \sin \omega t\right) \quad (4-25)$$

or, by using trigonometric identities,

$$y(t) = \frac{KA\omega\tau}{\omega^2\tau^2 + 1} e^{-t/\tau} + \frac{KA}{\sqrt{\omega^2\tau^2 + 1}} \sin(\omega t + \phi)$$
(4-26)  
where  
$$\phi = -\tan^{-1}(\omega\tau)$$
(4-27)

Notice that in both (4-25) and (4-26) the exponential term goes to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , leaving a pure sinusoidal response. This property is exploited in Chapter 13 for frequency response analysis.

#### **Example:** Gain and phase shift for first-order system:

1. 
$$G(s) = \frac{k}{\tau s + 1}$$
  
2. 
$$G(j\omega) = \frac{k}{1 + \tau j\omega} \cdot \frac{1 - \tau j\omega}{1 - \tau j\omega} \qquad (j^2 = -1)$$
  
This method is not really recommended  

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{k}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} - \frac{k \omega \tau}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} j$$
  
R I  
3. 
$$|G| = AR = \sqrt{R^2 + I^2} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}}$$
  
 $\phi = \angle G = \arctan \frac{I}{R} = -\arctan(\omega \tau)$   
Gain and phase shift  
be polar form formulas for complex numbers!  $G = G_c / G_c$ , where  $G_c = k$ ,  $G_c = \tau s + 1$ .

**SIMPLER:** Use polar form formulas for complex numbers!  $G=G_1/G_2$ , where  $G_1=k$ ,  $G_2=\tau s+2$ Set  $s = j\omega$ . Get:  $|G| = \frac{|G_1|}{|G_2|} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{(\omega\tau)^2 + 1}}$ ,  $\phi = \angle G = \angle G_1 - \angle G_2 = 0$  - arctan $(\omega\tau)$ 

#### SINUSOIDAL RESPONSE OF FIRST-ORDER SYSTEM $y(t) = AR sin(\omega t + \phi)$ $u(t) = sin(\omega t) \Gamma$ $k = 1, \tau = 1$ [s] s+ 1 6 Plots: Increase $\omega$ from 0.1 to 30 rad/s 1 ! = 1 rad/s, P = 6.28 s 0.8 8.0 0.8 AR = 0.707 ! = 10 rad/s, P = 0.628 s ! = 0.1 rad/s, P = 62.8 s0.6 Á = -0.785 rad = -45 ° 0.6 AR = 0.09950.6 AR = 0.995Á = -1.47 rad = -84.3 ° ¢ t= 0.785 s 0.4 $\dot{A} = -0.1 \text{ rad} = -5.7 \text{ o}$ 0.4 0.4 ¢ t= 0.147 s ¢ t = 0.997 s 0.2 0.2 0.2 0 0 0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.6 -0.6 -0.8 -0.8 -0.8 -1. 0 -Ъ 2 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 2 10 12 14 16 18 20 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 6 8 4 ! = 30 rad/ s, P = 0.209 s 0.8 ! = 3 rad/s, P = 2.09 s 0.8 0.8 ! = 0.3 rad/s, P = 20.9 s AR = 0.316 AR = 0.033AR = 0.9580.6 0.6 Á = -1.24 rad = -71.6 ° 0.6 Á = -1.54 rad = -88.1 ° Á = -0.291 rad = -16.7 ° ¢t = 0.416s ¢ t= 0.051 s c t = 0.972 s0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 0 0 Λ -0.2 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.6 -0.6 -0.8 -0.8 -0.8 10 12 14 16 18 20 10 12 14 18 0 8 10 12 14 16 0 2 4 6 8 16 20 2 4 6 18 w=0.3; tau=1; t = linspace(0,20,1000); $u = sin(w^*t);$ $AR = 1/sqrt((w*tau)^2+1)$

phi = - atan(w\*tau), phig=phi\*180/pi, dt=-phi/w y = AR\*sin(w\*t+phi);

plot(t,y,t,u)



Figure 14.2 Bode diagram for a first-order process





**Figure 13.12** The Nyquist diagram for G(s) = 1/(2s + 1) plotting Re( $G(j\omega)$ ) and Im( $G(j\omega)$ ).

Note: Nyquist plot is not included in last edition

### Example: Ground temperature phase shift. X=5ft What is $\tau$ if assume a first-order response from u to y? g(s) = k/( $\tau$ s+1)



Data:  $u_0 = A = 22$ ,  $y_0 = 12$ ,  $\omega = 0.017$  rad/d,  $\phi = -35^{\circ}$ Solution:

- We know from physics that the gain k=1. So g(s) = 1/(τs+1)
- 1. From amplitude data:  $AR = y_0/u_0 = 0.545$ .

Get: 
$$\tau = \frac{1}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{AR^2} - 1} = \frac{1}{0.017} \sqrt{\frac{1}{0.545^2} - 1} = 90.5d$$

2. From phase shift data.  $\phi = -35^{\circ}$ 

Get: 
$$\tau = -\frac{1}{\omega} \tan \phi = -\frac{1}{0.017} \tan(-0.568) = 37.4d$$

**Conclusion: This system is more complex than first order (no big surprise!)** It's described by partial differential equations and can be approximated by a high-order system with many poles and zeros. For example,  $g(s) = (\tau_2 s+1) / (\tau_1 s+1) (\tau_3 s+1)$  where  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \tau_3$ 

# Frequency response of time delay

g=e<sup>-θs</sup>

Gain =  $|g(j\omega)| = 1$ 

Phase shift =  $\varphi = \angle(g(j\omega)) = -\omega\theta$  [rad]

Alternative proof: Time domain u(t) y(t)

## General:

$$g(s) = k \frac{g_1 g_2}{g_3 g_4} e^{-\theta s}$$
$$|g| = k \frac{|g_1||g_2|}{|g_3||g_4|}$$
$$\angle g| = \angle g_1 + \angle g_2 - \angle g_3 - \angle g_4 - \omega \theta$$

Consider term:

$$g_a = Ts + 1$$

Set  $s = j\omega$  and evaluate complex number  $g_a(j\omega)$  with magnitude  $|g_a|$  and phase  $\angle g_a$ . Get:

$$|g_a(j\omega)| = \sqrt{\omega^2 T^2 + 1};$$
  
$$\angle g_a = \arctan \omega T$$

Example 2

$$g(s) = \frac{k(Ts+1)}{(\tau_1 s+1)(\tau_2 s+1)} = \frac{g_1 \ g_2}{g_3 \ g_4}$$

$$g_1 = k$$
  

$$g_2 = Ts + 1$$
  

$$g_3 = \tau_1 s + 1$$
  

$$g_4 = \tau_2 s + 1$$

Solution: 
$$|g(j\omega)| = \frac{|g_1| \cdot |g_2|}{|g_3| \cdot |g_4|}, \quad \angle g(j\omega) = \angle g_1 + \angle g_2 - \angle g_3 - \angle g_4$$
  
 $|g_1| = k, \quad \angle g_1 = 0$   
 $|g_2| = \sqrt{1 + (\omega T)^2}, \quad \angle g_2 = \operatorname{atan}(\omega T)$   
 $|g_3| = \sqrt{1 + (\omega \tau_1)^2}, \quad \angle g_3 = \operatorname{atan}(\omega \tau_1)$   
 $|g_4| = \sqrt{1 + (\omega \tau_1)^2}, \quad \angle g_4 = \operatorname{atan}(\omega \tau_1)$ 

If we also have a time delay  $g_5 = e^{-\theta s}$  then  $|g_5| = 1$ ,  $\angle g_5 = -\omega \theta$  [rad]

#### 1. DERIVATIVE

 $g_1(s) = s$ 

Frequency response:  $g(j\omega) = j\omega = 0 + j\omega$ 

$$|g_1(j\omega)| = \omega$$
  

$$\angle g_1(j\omega) = 90^o = \pi/2 \text{ rad (purely complex at all } \omega)$$

Check:

$$\begin{aligned} & u(t) = u_0 sin(\omega t) \\ & y(t) = u'(t) = u_0 \omega cos(\omega t) = \omega u_0 sin(\omega t + \pi/2) \quad \text{OK!} \end{aligned}$$

#### 2. INTEGRATOR

$$g_2(s) = \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{g_1}$$
$$|g_2(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{|g_1|} = \frac{1}{\omega}$$
$$\angle g_2(j\omega) = 0^o - \angle g_1 = -90^o = -\pi/2 \text{ rad}$$

Transfer Function	<i>G</i> ( <i>s</i> )	$AR =  G(j\omega) $	Plot of log $AR_N$ vs. log $\omega$	$\phi = \angle G(j\omega)$	Plot of φ vs. log ω
1. First-order	$\frac{K}{\tau s + 1}$	$\frac{K}{\sqrt{(\omega\tau)^2+1}}$	$1 \qquad \qquad \omega_b = \frac{1}{\tau}$	-tan <sup>-1</sup> (ωτ)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0^{\circ} \\ -45^{\circ} \\ -90^{\circ} \end{array} $
2. Integrator	<u>K</u> s	<u>Κ</u> ω	1	90°	0° -90°
3. Derivative	Ks	Κω	11-1	+90°	90° 0°
<ol> <li>Overdamped second-order</li> </ol>	$\frac{K}{(\tau_1 s + 1)(\tau_2 s + 1)}$	$\frac{K}{\sqrt{(\omega\tau_1)^2+1}\sqrt{(\omega\tau_2)^2+1}}$	$1 \qquad \qquad \omega_{b1} = \frac{1}{\tau_1} \\ \omega_{b2} = \frac{1}{\tau_2} \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2$	$-\tan^{-1}(\omega\tau_1) - \tan^{-1}(\omega\tau_2)$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0^{\circ} \\ -90^{\circ} \\ -180^{\circ} \end{array} $
<ol> <li>Critically damped second-order</li> </ol>	$\frac{K}{(\tau s + 1)^2}$	$\frac{K}{(\omega\tau)^2 + 1}$	$1 \qquad \qquad \omega_b = \frac{1}{\tau}$	$-2 \tan^{-1}(\omega \tau)$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0^{\circ} \\ -90^{\circ} \\ -180^{\circ} \end{array} $





Figure 14.4 Bode diagram for a time delay,  $e^{-\theta s}$ .

# ASYMPTOTES

Frequency response of term (Ts+1): set s=jω. Asymptotes:

```
(j\omega T + 1) \sim 1 for \omega T \ll 1 (slope n=0, phase=0)
(j\omega T + 1) \sim j\omega T for \omega T >> 1 (slope n=1, phase=90°)
```

Gain slope n:  $|G|^{\sim}\omega^n$ 

#### Rule for asymptotic Bode-plot, $L = k(Ts+1)/(\tau s+1)....$ :

- 1. Start with low-frequency asymptote (s $\rightarrow$ 0)
  - (a) If constant (L(0)=k):

```
Gain=k (slope=0)
```

Phase=0°

```
(b) If integrator (L=k'/s):
```

Gain slope= -1 (on log-log plot). Need one fixed point, for example, gain=1 at  $\omega = k'$  Phase: -90°.

2. Break frequencies (order ... from large T and  $\tau$  ... to small T and  $\tau$ ):

	Change in gain slope	Change in phase	
ω=1/T (zero)	+1	+90° (-90° if T negative)	
ω=1/ <b>τ</b> (pole)	-1	-90° (+90° if $\mathbf{\tau}$ negative)	

3. Time delay,  $e^{-\theta s}$ . Gain: no effect, Phase contribution:  $-\omega\theta$  [rad] (-1 rad = -57° at  $\omega$ =1/ $\theta$ )

Example with phase lead (not so common in process control)



$$g(s) = 10 \frac{100s+1}{(10s+1)(s+1)}$$





Bode plots of ideal parallel PID controller and series PID controller with filter.

Ideal parallel:

$$\mathsf{C}(s) = 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{10s} + 4s\right)$$

Series with Derivative Filter:

$$C(s) = 2\left(\frac{10s+1}{10s}\right)\left(\frac{4s+1}{0.4s+1}\right)$$

Example: Typical L=GC

#### EXAMPLE

$$L(s) = \frac{20s+1}{s(100s+1)(2s+1)}$$

L(s)=G(s)C(S): Loop transfer function for SIMC PI-control with  $T_c=4$  for G(s) = 1/(100s+1)(2s+1)



#### SOLUTION

$$L(s) = \underbrace{20s+1}_{s(100s+1)(2s+1)}$$

L(s): SIMC PI-control with  $\tau_c$ =4 for g(s) = 1/(100s+1)(2s+1)

Low-frequency asymptote 
$$(s = j\omega \rightarrow 0)$$
  
is integrator:  $L = \frac{1}{j\omega} = -\frac{1}{\omega}j$   
Gain  $= \frac{1}{\omega}$  (slope -1 on log-log),  
Phase = -90°

Asymptotes: Start at low frequency,  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ :  $|L(j\omega)| = 1/\omega$ . So:  $|L|=10^3$  at  $\omega=10^{-3}$ 

Break frequencies:  $\omega = 1/100=0.01$  (pole), 1/20=0.05 (zero), 1/2=0.5 (pole)

First break frequency (at 0.01) is a pole: Slope changes by -1 to -2 (log-log)  $\Rightarrow$  gain drops by factor 100 when  $\omega$  increases by factor 10 Phase drops by -90° to -180° Asymptote =  $\frac{1}{100(j\omega)^2} = -\frac{1}{100\omega^2}$ 

Next break frequency (at 0.05) is a zero: Slope changes by +1 to -1 (log-log) Phase increases by +90° to -90° Asymptote =  $\frac{20}{100j\omega} = -\frac{1}{5\omega}j$ 

Final break frequency (at 0.5) is a pole: Slope changes by -1 to -2 (log-log) Phase drops by -90° to -180° Asymptote =  $\frac{1}{10(j\omega)^2} = -\frac{1}{10\omega^2}$ 



## Electrical engineers (and Matlab) use decibel for gain

•  $|G| [dB] = 20 \log_{10} |G|$ 

G	G  [dB]
0.1	-20 dB
1	0 dB
2	6 dB
10	20 dB
100	40 dB
1000	60 dB



\*To change magnitude from dB to abs: Right click + properties + units (absolute, log scale)

Other way:  $|G| = 10^{|G|(dB)/20}$ GM=2 is same as GM = 6dB

# Bode stability condition

(Closed-loop stability condition from analyzing loop L(s) = G C G<sub>m</sub>)



#### Proof

Starting point: Stability is a system property for linear systems, so if the system is stable for one signal it's stable for all signals.

- Consider a particular signal: Sinusoid with frequency  $\omega_{180}$  (frequency where frequency shift around loop L(s) is -180° = - $\pi$  rad).  $e(t) = \sin(\omega_{180} t)$
- With negative feedback, the total phase shift around the loop is -360°, so this sinusoid comes «back in phase»
- If the gain around the loop is less than 1, the sinusoid will die out.
- Conclusion: The closed-loop system is stable if and only if  $|L(j\omega)| < 1$  at frequency  $\omega_{180}$



Time delay margin (DM),  $\Delta \theta$ = PM[rad]/ $\omega_c$ 

Question: For SIMC, is  $\omega_c = 1/\tau_c$ ? No, but it's related. In many cases  $\omega_c = 1/(\theta + \tau_c)$ 

## Summary: CLOSED-LOOP STABILITY IN FREQUENCY DOMAIN

- L = gcg<sub>m</sub> = loop transfer function with negative feedback
- Bode's stability condition: |L(jω<sub>180</sub>)|<1|</li>
  - Limitations
    - Open-loop stable (L(s) stable)
    - Phase of L crosses -180° only once
  - Stability margins
    - GM =  $1/|L(j\omega_{180})|$ , where Å  $L(j\omega_{180})|$ =-180°
    - $PM = Å L(j\omega_c) + 180^\circ$ , where  $|L(j\omega_c)|=1$
    - How much delay will "eat up" the PM? Answer:  $PM = \omega_c \Delta \theta \text{ [rad]} \Rightarrow DM = \Delta \theta = PM[rad]/\omega_c$

GM = gain margin (>1 for stability; typicall want >3) PM = phase margin (>0 for stability; typically want > 50°) DM = delay margin (>0 for stability; typically want > 2θ)

 The same but more general: Nyquist stability condition:

Locus of  $L(j\omega)$  should encircle the (-1)-point P times in the anti-clockwise direction (where P = no. of unstable poles in L).



Figure 2.12: Typical Bode plot of  $L(j\omega)$  with PM and GM indicated



- Example 1. P-control of delay process, g(s)=ke<sup>-θs</sup>. For what K<sub>c</sub> is system stable?
- Example 2. I-control of delay process. For what K<sub>I</sub> is system stable?

Solution. Stable if and only if

- 1. P-control: kK<sub>c</sub> < 1
- 2. I-control:  $kK_{l} < \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\theta}$

- Example 2, continued. I-control of delay process
- what is  $\omega_c$ ,  $\omega_{180}$ , GM, PM and DM & give for SIMC (analytical)

Solution For any KI: wc=k' KI, w180=(pi/2)(1/theta). GM = w180/k'KI = (pi/2)/(k'KI theta), PM=(pi/2)- k'KI\*theta, DM = PM/wc = (pi/2)/k'KI - theta

SIMC with  $\tau_c = \theta$  gives k'K<sub>1</sub> =  $\frac{1}{2\theta}$ , so wc =  $\frac{1}{2\theta}$ , w180= =  $\frac{\pi}{2\theta}$ GM =  $\pi$  = 3.14. PM = (pi-1)/2 = 1.07 rad = 61.5° DM = (pi-1)\*theta = 2.14 theta

General SIMC-PID for 2nd order delay process (with  $\tau_1 = \tau_1$ ) gives: L(s) =  $\frac{1}{\theta + \tau_c} \frac{e^{-\theta s}}{s}$ 

$$GM = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_c}{\theta} + 1 \right)$$
 DM = (GM-1) $\theta$ 



SOLUTION

$$L(s) = \frac{20s+1}{s(100s+1)(2s+1)}$$

L(s): SIMC PI-control with  $\tau_c$ =4 for g(s) = 1/(100s+1)(2s+1)



Time delay margin  $\Delta \theta = \frac{PM[rad]}{\omega_c[rad/s]} = \frac{1}{0.19} = 5.2s$  EXAMPLE3': ADD 2 UNITS OF DELAY

$$L = \frac{20s+1}{s(100s+1)(2s+1)}e^{-2s}$$

Now phase crosses -180° so GM is no longer infinity

10<sup>3</sup> Gain L With added delay,  $e^{-\theta s}$  with  $\theta = 2$ (amplitude) 10<sup>2</sup> No change in gain 10 10<sup>0</sup> GM=1/0,4=2.5 10 10 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 10 Frequency [rad/s] -90 hase degre -100 -110 -120 -130 -140 -150 -160 PM=35° -170 = 0.61 rad -180

 $\omega_{c} = 0.19$ 

 $\omega_{180} = 0.4$ 

10

 $10^{-2}$ 

10<sup>-3</sup>

Phase addition from delay =  $-\omega\theta$ At  $\omega_c$ :  $-\omega_c\theta$ = 0.19\*2 = -0.38 rad (-22°) So new PM = 57° (old)  $-22^\circ$  = 35°

New time delay margin  $\Delta \theta = \frac{PM[rad]}{\omega_c[rad/s]} = \frac{0.61}{0.19} = 3.2s$ 

# Example 4. PI-control of integrating process with delay. Compare ZN and SIMC\*

- g(s) =k'e<sup>-θs</sup>/s
- ZN: Use P-control and increase K<sub>c</sub> until instability.
  - Find:  $P_u = 4\theta$  and  $K_u = (\pi/2)/(k'\theta)$

• Derivation: 
$$L(s) = \frac{K_c k' e^{-\theta s}}{s}, \angle L(j\omega) = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \omega \theta[rad], |L(j\omega)| = \frac{K_c k'}{\omega},$$

- SO: 
$$\angle L(j\omega_{180}) = -\frac{\pi}{2} - \omega_{180}\theta = -\pi \Rightarrow \omega_{180} = \frac{\pi}{2\theta} \Rightarrow P_{u} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_{180}} = 4\theta,$$

- and at limit to instability: 
$$|L(j\omega_{180})| = \frac{K_u k'}{\omega_{180}} = 1 \Rightarrow K_u = \frac{\omega_{180}}{k'} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{k'\theta}$$

• PI-controller, 
$$c(s) = K_c (1+1/(\tau_I s))$$

	K <sub>c</sub>	$\tau_{\rm I}$
Ziegler-Nichols	$0.45K_u = 0.707/(k' \theta)$	P <sub>u</sub> /1.2=3.33θ
SIMC ( $\tau_c = \theta$ )	0.5/(k′ θ)	8θ

\*Task: Compare Bode-plot (L=gc), robustness and simulations (use k'=1,  $\theta$ =1).



SIMC is a lot more robust:

Frequency (rad/s)

	GM	PM	Delay margin, $\Delta \theta$
Ziegler-Nichols	1.87	24.9°	0.57 s
SIMC ( $T_c=\theta$ )	2.97	46.9°	1.88 s

s=tf('s')
g = exp(-s)/s
Kc=0.707, taui=3.33
c = Kc\*(1+1/(taui\*s))
L1 = g\*c
figure(1), margin(L1) % Bode-plot with margins
% To change magnitude from dB to abs: Right click + properties + units
Kc=0.5, taui=8
c = Kc\*(1+1/(taui\*s))

L2 = g\*c figure(2), margin(L2)  $\Delta \theta = PM[rad]/\omega_{c}$ ZN:  $\Delta \theta = 24.9*(3.14/180)/0.76 = 0.572s$ SIMC:  $\Delta \theta = 46.9*(3.14/180)/0.515 = 1.882s$ 

SIMC ZN 0.5/k 0 0.707/20 24 3370 LL(Wrsh)=180 Wisu 0.+6."  $L(yw_{c})|=1$ 37 )4  $L(w_i) + KM$ W 10 = DM

Delay = 1





Conclusion: Ziegler-Nichols (ZN) responds faster to the input disturbance, but is much less robust.

- ZN goes unstable if we increase delay from 1s to 1.57s.
- SIMC goes unstable if we increase delay from 1s to 2.88s.



$$e) = \frac{e^{-1.5s}}{s}$$



ZN is almost unstable when the delay is increased from 1s to 1.5s. SIMC does not change very much

# Bode stability condition. Why may D-action help in some cases?

- Some unstable processes, for example a double integrating process, may need D-action for stabilization. The reason is to add positive phase and therefore stabilize the system. Why does this help?
  - Recall the Bode stability condition. It says that the loop gain should be less than 1 at the frequency where the phase shift around the loop -180 degrees.
  - Another statement is that phase shift should be less than -180° at the frequency where the loop gain is 1.
  - So for stability and robustness we want as little phase shift as possible (to improve the phase margin). The things that add negative phase shift are time delay (the worst), poles and RHP-zeros.
  - LHP-zeros (D-action, (Td\*s +1)) have the opposite (positive) effect on the phase, and this is why they may be added for stabilization in difficult cases, for example, an unstable process. Of course, zeros will also affect the loop gain, but at frequencies up to the break frequency, 1/Td, the positive effect on the phase is most important.
  - So why don't we always add D-action? One reason is that it increases the controller gain and therefore the input usage. However, the main reason is probably that it does not help very much in most cases and it makes the controller design more complicated (and easier to do mistakes).

# **Closed-loop frequency response**

